Geopolitical Tensions In The Modern World: A Comprehensive Global Risk Analysis And The Path Forward For International Diplomacy

Saipiatuddin[1], Indra Kristian[2], Ananda Rivaldo Sari[3], Muhammad Rizal Rifaldi[4], Totok Hendarto[5]
Universitas Negeri Jakarta[1], Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani, Cimahi, Indonesia[2], Goacademica Research & Publishing[3], Goacademica Research & Publishing[4], Universitas Dr. Soetomo[5]
Email: saipiatuddin@unj.ac.Id

Abstract

Geopolitical Tensions In The Modern World Have Become A Major Concern For Countries Around The World, Fueled By Shifts In Economic And Military Power, As Well As Intensifying Competition For Resources. These Dynamics Are Complicated By The Impact Of Technology And Innovation, As Well As Regional Instability That Affects Global Security And Prosperity. Emerging Conflicts And Crises Demand New Approaches In International Diplomacy To Promote Peace And Stability. This Research Aims To Comprehensively Analyze The Global Risks Posed By Geopolitical Tensions And Explore The Way Forward For International Diplomacy. This Research Uses A Qualitative Approach, With Data Obtained From Previous Research Results And Related Studies To Gain In-Depth Insight Into This Issue. The Results Of This Research Show That Geopolitical Tensions Require A Collaborative And Innovative Global Response, With International Cooperation And Strengthening International Institutions As The Main Key. The Future Of International Diplomacy Depends On Adapting To The Digital Era, Effectively Utilizing Soft Power, And Creating New Models Of Cooperation That Can Address The Challenges Of The 21st Century. The Importance Of Commitment To International Dialogue And Cooperation Was Emphasized, As The Main Means Of Creating Sustainable Global Peace And Security. Success In Overcoming Geopolitical Tensions Will Determine The Stability And Progress Of Humanity In The Future.

Keywords: Geopolitical Tensions, Global Risks, International Diplomacy, Comprehensive Analysis.

A. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the world has witnessed significant changes in the global geopolitical map, which has given rise to several new challenges for international security and stability. Geopolitical tensions in various parts of the world have increased, fueled by a variety of interrelated factors, including power competition between countries, climate change, economic inequality, and technological advances. This phenomenon not only affects relations between

countries but also has a broad impact on the daily lives of global society, the economy, and the environment (Wang et al., 2023).

The era of globalization and increasing interconnection has brought new challenges to the way countries interact with each other. Competition for natural resources, access to global markets, and technological dominance are some of the main drivers of tension. In addition, the shift in economic and military power from the West to the East, especially with China's emergence as a global power, has raised questions about the future balance of power (Flew, 2020).

Conflicts in various regions, such as the Middle East, the South China Sea, and Ukraine, show how complex and dangerous geopolitical tensions can develop into armed conflict. These developments demand attention and a coordinated global response to prevent further escalation. However, amid rising nationalism and protectionism in some countries, reaching a consensus for a peaceful solution is becoming increasingly difficult (Mitchell, 2020).

The global climate crisis and COVID-19 pandemic have added a new layer of complexity to geopolitical tensions. The pandemic, in particular, has exposed and exacerbated global inequalities, while competition for resources such as vaccines shows how geopolitical competition can hinder the global response to a health crisis. On the other hand, the climate crisis demands unprecedented international cooperation but is often hampered by national and economic interests (Sultana, 2021).

In addition, technological advances, particularly in the fields of cyber and artificial intelligence, are opening new arenas for competition and conflict. Cyber warfare and disinformation campaigns are becoming new tools in a state's arsenal to influence or disrupt other countries. This raises questions about the new international norms and rules needed to regulate conflict in the digital age (Desouza et al., 2020).

Given this background, the international community needs to understand the dynamics of these complex and interrelated geopolitical tensions. Recognizing the root causes and consequences of these tensions will be the first step in formulating effective strategies to reduce global risks and find a way forward for more productive and peaceful international diplomacy. Given how quickly global change is occurring, rapid, adaptive, and consensus-based responses are becoming increasingly important to ensure future global stability and peace.

B. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Geopolitics

Geopolitics etymologically comes from the word Geo (Greek) which means earth and cannot be separated from the influence of the location and geographical conditions of the earth which is a living area. Geopolitics is

interpreted as the science of state administration in which every policy is linked to geographic problems of the region or residence of a nation (Zafeiropoulos et al., 2021). Geopolitics is a science that studies the relationship between geographical factors, strategies, and politics of a country, and for its implementation, a national strategy is needed. Based on this, the policy of administering a country is based on the conditions or environment in which that country lives (Armondi, 2022).

The term geopolitics was first defined by Frederich Ratzel as political geography, which was later expanded by Rudolf Kjellen to Geographical Politics, abbreviated as Geopolitics. Frederich Ratzel argued that the state was like a living organism. The state is identical to the space occupied by a group of people (nation). The growth of a country is similar to the growth of an organism which requires sufficient living space to thrive. The wider the living space, the stronger and more developed the country will be. Therefore, if the country wants to survive and develop, it needs expansion (expansion of territory as living space). This theory is known as organism theory or biological theory (Bluwstein et al., 2023).

Rudof Kjellen continued Ratzel's teachings on organism theory so he stated firmly that the state is an organism, not just a similar one. Karl Haushofer continued Ratzel and Kjellen's views, especially the views on lebensraum (living space) and expansionism. If the population of a region of a country increases so that it is no longer proportional to the area, then the country must make efforts to expand its territory as a living space for its citizens. To achieve this goal, the state must undertake:

a) Autarchy is the ideal of meeting one's own needs without depending on other countries
b) Controlled areas (pan-regional) (Agnoletti et al., 2020)

Halford Mackinder has a more strategic conception of geopolitics, namely controlling the 'heartland' areas of the world, so his opinion is known as the Heartland Theory. Whoever controls the heartland (Eastern Europe and Russia) will control the islands of the world (Europe, Asia, and Africa) which will ultimately rule the world. To dominate the world by controlling the heartland requires large land forces as a prerequisite. Based on this, the concept of Continental Insight or the concept of power on land (Schreer, 2022).

Alfred Thayer Mahan further developed the geopolitical concept, namely that apart from land power, maritime power was needed. Based on this, the concept of maritime insight or the concept of power at sea emerged. Whoever controls the seas will control the world's wealth (Hou, 2023).

Meanwhile, Gulio Douhet and Wiliam Mitchel have another opinion, namely that aerospace forces play a more important role in winning wars against the enemy. For this reason, they concluded that building a fleet or air force was

more profitable because it allowed them to operate independently without assistance from other forces. Besides that, the Air Force can destroy the enemy at home or the back of the battlefield. Based on this, the concept of aerospace insight or the concept of power in the air emerged (Goddard & Larkin, 2023).

2. Global Risk

Global risks refer to cross-border threats, where the impact can span across countries and continents, and can even affect the entire planet. This concept covers a broad spectrum of issues, from climate change, pandemics, and financial crises, to cybercrime, all of which have the potential to create significant disruption to social, economic, and environmental systems on a global scale. Global risks know no geographical boundaries, so they require international cooperation and collective action to overcome or minimize their impact. The nature of these global risks is complex and interrelated, where one risk can trigger or exacerbate other risks, creating a domino effect that is difficult to control (Hui-Min et al., 2021).

Fundamentally, global risk management requires a deep understanding of global systems and how various factors and events can interact with each other. For example, climate change could exacerbate food and water insecurity, which could then trigger mass migration and conflict. In this context, global risks also require a multidisciplinary approach to handling, involving science, technology, public policy, and international diplomacy. Efforts to overcome global risks are often faced with challenges of coordination and cooperation between countries, considering that each country has different interests, capacities, and priorities (Settembre-Blundo et al., 2021).

In addition, technology and globalization have increased connectivity and dependence between countries, thereby increasing the potential for global risk spread. For example, a financial crisis in one country can quickly spread to global markets, and a virus that emerges in one region can become a global pandemic in a matter of weeks. Responses to global risks therefore require proactive and adaptive thinking, where early warning systems, preparation, and strong response capacities are key to mitigating their impacts (Aven & Zio, 2021).

Facing global risks also requires innovative and collaborative thinking to create sustainable solutions. This includes the development of green technology to tackle climate change, a policy framework that supports pandemic prevention, and a financial system that is resilient to external shocks. In addition, strengthening local communities and systems is also important, as they are often on the front lines facing the direct impacts of global risks. Thus, global risks require an integrated approach, which focuses not only on mitigation and adaptation but also on recovery and rebuilding more resiliently (Munasinghe, 2020).

Ultimately, global risks underscore the importance of international solidarity and cooperation. In this interconnected world, no country can stand alone in facing global risks. Collaboration between countries, international organizations, the private sector, the scientific community, and civil society is very crucial. This cooperation is not only needed in responding to the current crisis but also in building a global system that is more resilient to future risks. Thus, global risks require broad understanding and inclusive action, ensuring that risk management efforts can run effectively and sustainably, for the welfare of humanity and the planet as a whole (Muraille et al., 2022).

3. International Diplomacy

Diplomacy refers to the skill and process of negotiation carried out by an individual (known as a diplomat), who typically represents a nation or entity. The term "diplomacy" is often specifically linked to international relations, involving aspects like cultural exchanges, economic agreements, and commercial activities. Commonly, diplomacy is perceived as a method to secure advantages through tactful language (Qin, 2020).

The most basic and ancient form of diplomacy is bilateral diplomacy, which occurs between two entities and is typically conducted through embassies and official state visits. A case in point is the Free Trade Agreement between the United States and Canada, known as the Canadian-American Free Trade Agreement. Another type is multilateral diplomacy which involves many parties and can be traced to the Congress of Vienna. The UN is a multilateral diplomatic institution. Some multilateral diplomacy takes place between neighboring countries or within the same region and this diplomacy is known as regional diplomacy (Bicchi & Schade, 2022).

Diplomacy has emerged as a crucial element of national existence, serving as the primary tool for addressing global issues to foster world peace. Governments employ diplomatic strategies to fulfill their objectives and garner support for their fundamental principles. As a political endeavor, diplomacy's main purpose is to uphold a nation's foreign policy by shaping the policies and perceptions of foreign governments. It is a political activity that belongs to a wider and intricate web of mutual influences in international relations, conducted by both governments and international organizations to enhance their aims via diplomatic routes (Zareie et al., 2021).

Sir Ernest Satow defines diplomacy as the application of intellect and tact in managing formal interactions among the governments of sovereign states. At times, it also encompasses dealings between vassal states or, more succinctly, the peaceful conduct of such matters among nations (Jones, 2020).

According to Harold Nicholson, Diplomacy is the management of international relations utilizing negotiations; the method by which these relations

are adjusted and managed by ambassadors and envoys the business or art of the diplomats. According to Ian Brownlie, "Diplomacy is every method taken to establish and develop relationships and communicate with each other or carry out political or legal transactions which in every case are carried out through authorized representatives" (Hart & Siniver, 2020)

In practice, it's essential to differentiate diplomacy from foreign policy, necessitating clear demarcation between the two notions. Diplomacy is not in itself a policy but serves as a mechanism to influence policy. Despite this distinction, diplomacy and policy are interdependent, with each requiring the other's support to function effectively (Ruffini, 2020). While diplomacy is intertwined with foreign policy, together they form the executive strategies that define a nation's approach, diplomacy, and tactics. Foreign policy concentrates on the essence and substance of international relations, whereas diplomatic efforts are primarily concerned with the methods employed to execute foreign policy (Li et al., 2022).

Diplomacy serves as a technique in international relations, facilitated through negotiations conducted by ambassadors, embodying the craft or artistry of diplomats. It represents a mode of communication among different entities, involving discussions between authorized representatives. This practice of states has been long established and subsequently evolved into international legal norms. Therefore, diplomacy is also a strategy employed by a country's government to realize its objectives and secure backing for its adopted principles (Towns, 2020).

C. METHOD

This research is designed to explore and analyze the complex dynamics of geopolitical tensions in the modern world and their implications for international diplomacy. To achieve an in-depth understanding of these issues, this study will be carried out using a qualitative approach. This approach was chosen for its ability to capture the nuances and complexity of international relations and global power dynamics, which often cannot be fully explained through quantitative methods. The data used in this research comes from various research results and previous studies which still have relevance to the content of the research. This includes academic publications and journal articles. When the research data is successfully collected, the data will then be processed. This data analysis process aims to identify patterns, trends, and relationships in the data, which will help in formulating valid conclusions and reliable recommendations on ways to improve international diplomacy amidst global geopolitical tensions.

D. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. Dynamics of Global Power Competition

The dynamics of global power competition have undergone dramatic changes in recent decades, driven by significant shifts in economic and military power. This shift has altered the global geopolitical balance, challenging the traditional dominance of Western countries, particularly the United States and its allies, and marking the emergence of new states with significant economic and military power. China's rise as a global economic power, along with the rapid growth of countries such as India and Brazil, has created new dynamics in international competition, forcing adjustments in global geopolitical and economic strategies. These changes involve not only economic growth but also massive investments in military capabilities, aimed at supporting their geopolitical ambitions as well as protecting their national interests on the global stage.

The influence of these emerging powers on traditional power structures is very significant. These emerging states not only expanded their economic influence through trade and investment but also by demonstrating their military capabilities through presence in international waters and participation in peacekeeping missions under the UN flag. This marks a shift from a unipolar system dominated by one or two great powers to a more multipolar one, in which many countries have significant power and influence. This new balance of power demands a more dynamic and flexible approach to international diplomacy, where the interests and views of various players must be taken into account.

One of the most prominent areas of competition between these countries is access and control over natural resources, including energy and rare minerals. The increasing need for these resources, fueled by economic growth and technological progress, has led to intense competition among large and small countries. Control over these resources is not only important to ensure economic growth but also to strengthen energy security and industrial strength. For example, competition in the South China Sea is largely driven by its underwater natural resource potential, which attracts overlapping claims from various countries in the region.

The influence of technology and innovation in determining geopolitical power cannot be ignored. Advances in information technology, artificial intelligence, and cyber warfare have opened new dimensions in global power competition. Countries that can lead in technological innovation gain not only an economic advantage but also a strategic advantage in terms of national security. The cyber arms race between major powers shows how important technology is in modern defense and security strategies. Moreover, dominance in advanced technologies such as 5G and artificial intelligence has far-reaching implications not only in the military field but also in global economic and cultural influence.

These changes in the dynamics of global power competition indicate a new era in international relations, where traditional powers must adapt to new

realities. Shifts in economic and military power, the influence of emerging powers, competition for resources, and the role of technology and innovation all contribute to an increasingly complex and interconnected geopolitical landscape. Understanding and navigating these changes requires a more inclusive and collaborative approach to international diplomacy, where dialogue and cooperation are key to addressing shared global challenges.

2. Regional Instability and Conflict

Regional instability and conflict are persistent global issues, affecting regions around the world in complex and often tragic ways. Geopolitical hotspots, such as the Middle East, the Sahel region of Africa, and parts of Asia, continue to be at the center of international attention due to long-standing conflicts driven by various factors. These factors often involve a combination of competition over natural resources, ethnic and religious differences, foreign interference, and the legacy of colonialism that has long left scars on a country's political and social structure. In many cases, these conflicts are not only local or regional but also have global dimensions, affecting international security and the world economy.

The impact of this conflict on the civilian population has been severe and profound. Daily life for many people has turned into a struggle for survival amid violence, food shortages, limited access to health services, and the destruction of infrastructure. The refugee crisis is one of the direct consequences of this conflict, with millions of people forced to leave their homes to seek safety elsewhere, either within their own country or as refugees in other countries. This situation not only creates a humanitarian crisis but also poses significant challenges for refugee-hosting countries, which often struggle to provide adequate aid and support.

External influences on regional conflicts often complicate resolution efforts. Foreign intervention, whether in the form of military, economic, or political support to one of the parties to the conflict, can prolong the duration of the conflict and increase the scale of damage. Large powers often engage in geopolitical games, using regional conflicts as an arena to expand their influence or relying on proxies to secure their strategic interests. While some foreign interventions may be motivated by humanitarian or peacebuilding goals, they often lead to unintended consequences, deepening instability and making peaceful solutions more difficult to achieve.

The search for a peaceful solution in this context is a major challenge. Peace negotiations are often complicated by conflicting interests, lack of trust between conflicting parties, and interference from foreign powers with their agendas. The post-conflict recovery process is also not an easy task, requiring coordinated efforts to rebuild infrastructure, support inter-community

reconciliation, and ensure that the root causes of conflict are addressed to prevent the recurrence of violence. Building sustainable peace requires more than ending fighting; it requires comprehensive social and economic transformation, continued international support, and above all, a strong commitment from the parties involved in the conflict to achieve a just and inclusive solution.

Thus, overcoming regional instability and conflict requires a multifaceted and multidisciplinary approach, involving local, regional, and international actors in a joint effort to create conditions conducive to peace and development. Although the challenges are great, a commitment to diplomacy, dialogue, and development can pave the way to recovery and hope for millions of people affected by conflict around the world.

3. Global Crisis and International Response

Global crises, such as pandemics and climate change, have shown how complex and interconnected the modern world is. The COVID-19 pandemic, for example, has not only been a global public health disaster but has also significantly affected geopolitical dynamics and international cooperation. Tensions between countries are rising as they compete for access to medical equipment and vaccines, showing how a health crisis can exacerbate geopolitical rivalries. On the other hand, the pandemic has also encouraged innovations in diplomacy and international cooperation, such as virtual conferences and global vaccine-sharing mechanisms, although with varying results.

Climate change represents another pressing global challenge, with far-reaching implications for security, migration, and conflicts over resources. Increasing extreme weather events and natural disasters, along with reduced access to natural resources, are exacerbating instability in some regions and triggering mass migration. This issue underscores the importance of international cooperation, but the global response is often hampered by differences in national interests and domestic political priorities. The dilemma between international cooperation and national interests becomes especially clear in climate change negotiations, where countries must find a balance between economic development and emissions reduction.

International cooperation versus national interests often presents a complex dilemma for countries. On the one hand, there is recognition that many global challenges cannot be addressed without cross-border collaboration. However, on the other hand, domestic political pressures and national interests often lead to a more protectionist or unilateral approach. This dynamic is visible across a range of issues, from trade to managing the pandemic, with countries struggling to balance the need to cooperate with other countries and the pressure to protect their citizens and economies.

The role of international organizations in overcoming global crises is crucial, but these organizations also face significant challenges in their effectiveness and legitimacy. The UN, WHO, and other multilateral entities play a critical role in coordinating global responses to pandemics, climate change, and other crises. However, they are often hampered by interstate power politics, lack of funding, and questions of representation and fairness. Nevertheless, the existence and efforts of international organizations remain important in facilitating dialogue, building consensus, and implementing joint solutions to problems that do not respect national borders.

Overall, the global crisis and the international response to it highlight the complexity of the contemporary international system and the importance of cross-border cooperation. Although significant challenges exist, especially in balancing global interests with domestic politics, joint efforts remain the key to addressing issues that affect humanity collectively. Increasing the effectiveness of international organizations, strengthening multilateral diplomacy, and promoting global solidarity will be important in facing future challenges.

4. The Future of Diplomacy and International Cooperation

The future of diplomacy and international cooperation lies ahead amid rapid social, political, and technological change. In this digital era, the potential for using technology in diplomacy has become increasingly significant, opening up new opportunities for cross-cultural communication, negotiation, and understanding. Social media, virtual conferences, and other digital platforms have enabled diplomats to reach and interact with broader audiences, including civil society and non-state actors, in unprecedented ways. However, the use of this technology also brings challenges, including cybersecurity issues, disinformation, and difficulties in maintaining privacy and confidentiality in diplomacy. Addressing these challenges requires new policies and protocols that can leverage technology while protecting the integrity of the diplomatic process.

Technology aside, foreign policy and the use of soft power are becoming increasingly important in shaping international relations. The power of culture, education, and public diplomacy in influencing public opinion and political decisions cannot be ignored. Cultural exchange programs, education, and other public diplomacy initiatives play a key role in building bridges between peoples, easing tensions, and strengthening bilateral and multilateral relationships. Through soft power, countries can promote their values, interests, and policies more subtly and effectively, often with more sustainable results than more harsh or confrontational approaches.

Strengthening international institutions and norms is one of the key strategies for increasing the effectiveness of international cooperation and global governance. During increasingly complex global challenges, from climate change

to pandemics, the need for institutions that are strong and able to adapt is very important. This involves structural and functional reform of international organizations, such as the United Nations and its agencies, to make them more inclusive, responsive, and effective in dealing with contemporary issues. Additionally, strengthening international norms through treaties, conventions, and other international legal frameworks can help regulate state behavior and ensure compliance with certain principles, such as human rights, peace, and security.

Finally, creating new cooperation models is important in facing the challenges of the 21st century. Innovation in diplomacy and international cooperation is needed to overcome increasingly complex and interconnected global problems. This could include a multi-stakeholder approach involving government, the private sector, non-profit organizations, and civil society in formulating and implementing joint solutions. This new cooperation model must be flexible, adaptive, and able to utilize technology and innovation to accelerate the achievement of common goals. Through closer and creative cooperation, the global community can more effectively address the issues that affect us all, from climate change to global inequality, ensuring a safer and more prosperous future for all.

In facing future challenges and opportunities, diplomacy and international cooperation must continue to innovate and adapt. Through the use of technology, strengthening soft power, institutional reform, and the creation of new cooperation models, the international community can build a more collaborative and sustainable future.

E. CONCLUSION

The conclusions of this research confirm that geopolitical tensions in the modern world are the result of complex dynamics involving shifts in economic and military power, competition for resources, technological influence, as well as regional instability and conflict. We have seen how the COVID-19 pandemic, climate change, and the need for international cooperation versus national interests are shaping the current global landscape. The response to this global crisis demands an innovative and collaborative approach, where international cooperation and strengthening international institutions and norms are key. The future of diplomacy and international cooperation offers a pathway to more sustainable and equitable solutions to global challenges. This requires adaptation to the digital era, more effective use of soft power, and the creation of innovative new cooperation models. Success in overcoming these issues will not only determine geopolitical stability but also the progress and prosperity of humanity in the future. Therefore, the commitment to international cooperation and

dialogue must continue to be strengthened, ensuring that diplomacy remains the main tool in realizing global peace and security.

REFERENCES

1. Agnoletti, M., Manganelli, S., & Piras, F. (2020). Covid-19 and rural landscape: The case of Italy. *Landscape and urban planning*, *204*, 103955.
2. Armondi, S. (2022). Towards geopolitical reading of 'periphery'in state spatial strategies: Concepts and controversies. *Geopolitics*, *27*(2), 526-545.
3. Aven, T., & Zio, E. (2021). Globalization and global risk: How risk analysis needs to be enhanced to be effective in confronting current threats. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, *205*, 107270.
4. Bicchi, F., & Schade, D. (2022). Whither European diplomacy? Long-term trends and the impact of the Lisbon Treaty. *Cooperation and Conflict*, *57*(1), 3-24.
5. Bluwstein, J., Cavanagh, C., & Fletcher, R. (2023). Securing conservation Lebensraum? The geo-, bio-, and ontopolitics of global conservation futures. *Geoforum*, 103752.
6. Desouza, K. C., Ahmad, A., Naseer, H., & Sharma, M. (2020). Weaponizing information systems for political disruption: The actor, lever, effects, and response taxonomy (ALERT). *Computers & Security*, *88*, 101606.
7. Flew, T. (2020). Globalization, neo-globalization and post-globalization: The challenge of populism and the return of the national. *Global Media and Communication*, *16*(1), 19-39.
8. Goddard, S. E., & Larkin, C. (2023). 'The most humane of all weapons': Discrimination, airpower, and precision doctrine. *European Journal of International Security*, *8*(4), 531-549.
9. Hart, D., & Siniver, A. (2020). The meaning of diplomacy. *International Negotiation*, *26*(2), 159-183.
10. Hou, K. H. (2023). The Social Construction of the Ocean, Sea Power, and Maritime Transformation. *Journal of Political & Military Sociology*, *50*(1), 32-56.
11. Hui-Min, L. I., Xue-Chun, W. A. N. G., Xiao-Fan, Z. H. A. O., & Ye, Q. I. (2021). Understanding systemic risk induced by climate change. *Advances in Climate Change Research*, *12*(3), 384-394.
12. Jones, A. (2020). Towards an emotional geography of diplomacy: Insights from the United Nations Security Council. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, *45*(3), 649-663.
13. Li, J., Shapiro, D., Peng, M. W., & Ufimtseva, A. (2022). Corporate diplomacy in the age of US–China rivalry. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *36*(4), 1007-1032.

14. Mitchell, S. M. (2020). Clashes at sea: explaining the onset, militarization, and resolution of diplomatic maritime claims. *Security studies*, *29*(4), 637-670.
15. Munasinghe, M. (2020). COVID-19 and sustainable development. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, *23*(1-2), 1-24.
16. Muraille, E., Naccache, P., & Pillot, J. (2022). The Tragedy of Liberal Democratic Governance in the Face of Global Threats. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*, 902724.
17. Qin, Y. (2020). Diplomacy as relational practice. *The Hague Journal of Diplomacy*, *15*(1-2), 165-173.
18. Ruffini, P. B. (2020). Conceptualizing science diplomacy in the practitioner-driven literature: a critical review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *7*(1), 1-9.
19. Schreer, B. (2022). A geopolitical and geostrategic blueprint for NATO's China challenge. *Comparative Strategy*, *41*(2), 189-202.
20. Settembre-Blundo, D., González-Sánchez, R., Medina-Salgado, S., & García-Muiña, F. E. (2021). Flexibility and resilience in corporate decision making: a new sustainability-based risk management system in uncertain times. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, *22*(Suppl 2), 107-132.
21. Sultana, F. (2021). Climate change, COVID-19, and the co-production of injustices: a feminist reading of overlapping crises. *Social & Cultural Geography*, *22*(4), 447-460.
22. Towns, A. E. (2020). 'Diplomacy is a feminine art': Feminised figurations of the diplomat. *Review of International Studies*, *46*(5), 573-593.
23. Wang, Q., Ren, F., & Li, R. (2023). Exploring the impact of geopolitics on the environmental Kuznets curve research. *Sustainable Development*.
24. Zafeiropoulos, G., Drinia, H., Antonarakou, A., & Zouros, N. (2021). From geoheritage to geoeducation, geoethics and geotourism: A critical evaluation of the Greek region. *Geosciences*, *11*(9), 381.
25. Zareie, S., Bozorg-Haddad, O., & Loáiciga, H. A. (2021). A state-of-the-art review of water diplomacy. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *23*, 2337-2357.